

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/628,430	MIZUTA ET AL.	
	Examiner Thomas A. Morrison	Art Unit 3653	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Thomas A. Morrison.

(3) _____.

(2) Lawrence A. Stahl (Reg. No. 30,110).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

2,3,4

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

PATRICK MACKEY
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Contacted Mr. Stahl (applicant's representative) on 9/25/2006 to get permission to correct a minor grammatical error in claim 2, and to further clarify claims 3 and 4, as per the attached examiner's amendment. During the telephone conversation Mr. Stahl approved such changes to the claims.